Drug Dependence in the Geriatric Age Group: A Clinic-Based Study
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Abstract

This research aimed to study the demographic and clinical profile of elderly subjects (≥ 60 years) presenting to a state-funded drug addiction centre in northern India. Out of 80 elderly subjects registered with the Centre during 1978-2003, 63 subjects who were diagnosed by qualified psychiatrists to have substance dependence as per the ICD-9/ICD-10 and for whom complete case records were available were taken up for the study. A steady rise from 1 case per year to 9.5 cases per year was seen over the years. All subjects were male, most were married (81%), poorly educated (60%), still working (58.7%), belonging to low (63.5%) or middle (22.2%) socioeconomic status, and had minimal (52.4%) or poor (07.9%) social support. The prominent substance use related patterns were: alcohol (60%) and opioids (35%); onset of substance use since thirties (81%); substance use started out of curiosity (81%); lifetime medical complications (35%), and mild impairment in family (66.7%), marital (60.2%), social (49.2%), occupational (41.3%) and physical (39.7%) domains. Most of the subjects were detoxified using benzodiazepines (80%) and were not advised pharmacoprophylactic agents (55%). The mean duration of follow-up was 10.82 months and 58.7% were abstaining at the last follow-up. Although the numbers are very small, they show a trend in the recent decades of elderly population’s gradually increasing attendance at a de-addiction clinic in India (German J Psychiatry 2008; 11: 10-15).
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Introduction

Globally there is an increase in the elderly population and India is no exception to this. The Indian data on the elderly show the figures of 20 million in 1951, 84 million in 2001 and an estimated 326 million in 2050 (United Nations, 1995). However, in the recent decades the traditional joint family system that cared for the elderly has been breaking down without a public social security system replacing it, resulting in the elderly being either less cared for or forced to live on their own. The psychological and physiological changes rendering them very vulnerable, it is important to study the problems and needs of the elderly. One of the largely under-diagnosed and under-treated problems in this population is substance use (Crome & Day, 1999).
the present research that aimed to study the sociodemographic and clinical profile of the elderly people attending a de-addiction center in northern India.

Methods

The study was conducted at the Drug De-addiction and Treatment Centre (DDTC) of the Department of Psychiatry at the Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education & Research, Chandigarh - a state-funded tertiary-care multispecialty institute in northern India. At the DDTC most patients come by self or family referral while some are referred from other hospitals or other departments of our Institute. The services provided by a team of psychiatrists, social workers, clinical psychologists and nurses include outpatient, inpatient, laboratory, aftercare, liaison with other governmental and non-governmental agencies and self-help groups. The patients and their family members are initially assessed briefly by a psychiatrist (a postgraduate in psychiatry) and later assessed in detail by a trainee psychiatrist who, after discussion with a Consultant Psychiatrist, finalizes the management plan and the diagnoses as per the ICD (ICD-9 till 1992 and ICD-10 1992 onwards). The planned management is carried out by the treating team and includes referral to/liaison with other departments, pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, yoga-therapy, home visits and socio-occupational rehabilitation. Regular (usually monthly) follow-ups are done to monitor and document the drug use profile, treatment issues, and the physical, psychological, social and occupational functioning.

The cohort for this study consisted of all patients aged more than 60 years registered at the DDTC between September 1978 and December 2003 (a little over 26 years). For this chart-review study we found that out of the 80 subjects registered during the specified period, the complete case records were available for 63 subjects.

Measures

The following measures were considered in this study:

Socio-demographic information profile. A semi-structured pro-forma was used to record sex, age, marital status, educational level, occupation, income, family type, religion and locality. One variable named ‘family/social support system’ was added to this section. ‘Poor support’ was rated when there was either unavailability of, or lack of assistance from, key care giving and supervising figures in family (usually spouse, but also parents, sibs or children) or in society (peer group, colleagues, job supervisor, self-help groups, religious-spiritual affiliations, etc.). ‘Good/fair’ support was rated when there was availability and assistance from at least one member each from both these sources. As the social support of an individual could vary over time, the inference about the same was drawn from cumulative evaluation of the patient from the first contact to the last contact. This measure of social support has not undergone a psychometric evaluation.

Information on clinical and substance use profile. This included type of predominantly used substance, duration of dependence (onset marked from the year in which the patient first met criteria for dependence as per ICD-9 or 10), relapses, treatments and hospitalizations in the past (before the index treatment episode), detailed physical and psychiatric comorbidity, and other substance use. The information about the physical and psychiatric comorbidity was inferred from the history, clinical and laboratory evaluation and monitoring of the patient throughout the contact period.

Impairment in various areas of functioning. Four levels of drug related complications were operationalized covering health, occupation, finance, family, marital, legal, and social domains of functioning (Grover et al, 2005). The severity of complications at the first presentation (nil, mild, moderate, and severe) was extracted from the case records using a standardized guideline. This measure of impairment has not been subjected to a psychometric evaluation.

Status at last follow-up. Abstinence, lapse, or relapse were considered the primary outcome measures. Abstinence was defined as no substance intake. Lapses were defined as using the substance less than that for relapse. Relapse was defined as re-emergence of substance dependence as per the ICD-9 or ICD-10.

Duration of follow-up. It was calculated in number of months from first visit to the last visit to the hospital.

Results

During the 1978-2003 period out of the 4080 subjects 80 were of more than 60 years of age when registered in the DDTC; thus the elderly population formed 1.96% of the total registration. The 80 cases registered during different blocks of years were: 1978-1981=3 case (out of total 107 cases), 1982-1991=21 cases (out of total 905 cases), 1992-2001=37 cases (out of total 2254 cases) and 2002-2003=19 cases (out of total 814 cases), as shown in Figure 1. How-

Figure 1. Percentage of elderly cases in different periods
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ever, the percentage fluctuated from 1.64% in years 1992-2001 to 2.8% in years 1978-1981 (Figure 1). The remaining part of the results focuses on the 63 out of 80 subjects for whom complete records were available.

Sociodemographic profile

All subjects were men. The mean age at presentation was 65±4.9 years with a range of 60-95 years, and all except 4 cases had presented before the age of 75 years. The married subjects accounted for 81%; the rest included never married (4.8%), widowed (11.1%) and separated (3.2%) subjects. Only 40% subjects had completed the school, a third had left the school unfinished and the rest were illiterate (26.7%). More than half of the subjects (58.7%) were working. The urban (52.4%) and rural (47.6%) distribution was nearly equal. Almost all subjects were either Sikh (50.8%) or Hindu (46.0%). Most were living in a joint family (42.9%) or a nuclear family (38.0%), the rest were in extended families (9.6%) or were living alone (9.6%). The socioeconomic level was mostly low (63.5%) or middle (22.2%). Of those from low socioeconomic level 62% were still working. The social support was fair in only 40% subjects, in others it was minimal (52.4%) or poor (07.9%).

Clinical Profile

Most subjects had been taking the drugs for decades. The mean age at first use of the primary substance was 33.5±15.29 years (Range: 11-69.5 years) and mean duration of the illness was 372.9±191.17 months (Range: 3-960 months). The mean duration of dependence on the primary substance was 232.46±173.59 months (Range: 2-900 months). Only 19% subjects (12 cases) had started using the substance for the first time after the age of 50 years, the common substances for them being opioids (raw opium – 4 cases; pentazocine – 1 case; poppy husk – 1 case; morphine - 1 case) and alcohol (3 cases). One case each was using cannabis and lorazepam.

The main reason for initiating the substance use was curiosity (81%), other reasons being family problems (3.2%), iatrogenic/prescription (11.1%), psychopathology (3.2%) and self-medication (1.6%). The reasons for initiating substance use showed marked changes in relation to age at onset being before or after 50 years: curiosity (88% and 50% respectively), iatrogenic/prescription (8% and 25% respectively), family problems (2% and 8.3% respectively) and psychopathology (0% and 16.7% respectively).

More subjects were brought in by their relatives (55.6%), than those who came at their own initiative (20.6%) or were referred by other departments of our Institute (23.8%). The family history was positive for substance dependence in 25.4% and for other psychiatric disorders in 4.8% subjects.

All the subjects were currently dependent on at least one substance. The commonest primary class of substance was alcohol (60.3%) followed by opioids (35%); only one case was using cannabis and one case each lorazepam and diaze-
The iceberg.

This paper, although still very small, should be seen as a tip of the iceberg. Elderly substance abusers in northern India. Between 1978 and 2001, the sociodemographic and clinical profile of treatment seeking representative sample (Census of India, 2001). In the community reflects that ours was a more or less representative sample. Thus, the numbers reported in the treatment clinic may be inadequate, in view of the relative lack of stigmatized. Also, the referral process for them to an addiction clinic may be inadequate, and the low socioeconomic status of the elderly to be active and productive for as long as possible, and the low socioeconomic status forcing almost two thirds of these to continue to work. Almost half of our sample coming from a nuclear family (38%) or living alone (9.6%) and with social support being minimal (52.4%) or poor (7.9%), reflects that the traditional joint family system is being replaced by a nuclear family set up leaving the elderly with less or no social support (Trivedi, 2000).

Curiosity remaining the commonest reason in both our subgroups, external reasons (iatrogenic, relationship distress and psychopathology) were over reported in those starting substance abuse/use later (around fifties) compared to those starting early (around thirties).

The mean duration of 20 years to develop dependence was essentially because 60% of the sample was abusing alcohol, which is known to take many years for the development of dependence. Western research has identified two types of older alcohol abusers: the early onset/“survivors”, about 30%, having more than 20 years of dependence, which is known to take many years for the development of dependence. Western research has identified two types of older alcohol abusers: the early onset/“survivors”, about 30%, having more than 20 years of dependence, and the late onset/“reactors”, about 70%, have higher rates for family history of alcoholism and alcohol related medical problems; the late onset/“reactors”, associated with the age of 50 plus, a major life stressor and higher income (McGrath et al., 2005). Our findings are similar with ‘survivors’ accounting for 92% of our cases, 23% of them having a family history of substance dependence, and almost all of them having lifetime substance related complications; while 2 out of 3 “reactors” developed cerebellar degeneration and alcohol induced psychosis, suggesting higher vulnerability of this type to neuropsychiatric complications.

Similar to Western reports (Glantz, 1985) alcohol was the commonest substance of abuse in our sample (60%) reflecting its easy availability and high social acceptability as a licit substance. The higher consumption of mean 720 ml/day of distilled alcohol in our cases is similar to that reported earlier from this region (Gupta et al., 2003) but not from the developed countries (British Medical Association, 1995); the reason may be the that half of our sample came from rural areas and mostly used locally distilled alcohol of lower than the legal standard of 42.7%v/v strength.

Raw opium and poppy husk (21% & 8% of the total sample) as the commonest opioids point to their traditional availability and abuse in this region as also the fact that their abuse did not progress to more potent synthetic opioids. Only a

Correlation analysis

Age at presentation had negative correlation with financial (Spearman’s rho 0.254; p<0.05) and occupational impairment (Spearman’s rho 0.279; p<0.05). There was negative correlation between physical impairment and age at first use of primary substance (Spearman’s rho 0.250; p<0.05) and positive correlation with duration of follow up (Spearman’s rho 0.266; p<0.05) and number of follow up (Spearman’s rho 0.377; p<0.01). The impairment in family domain had positive correlation with duration of use of primary substance (Spearman’s rho 0.363; p<0.01), duration of follow up (Spearman’s rho 0.365; p<0.01) and number of follow-ups (Spearman’s rho 0.261; p<0.05). Similarly, impairment in marital domain had positive correlation with duration of use of primary substance (Spearman’s rho 0.342; p<0.01) and duration of follow up (Spearman’s rho 0.287; p<0.05). There was positive correlation between duration of dependence and impairment in legal domain (Spearman’s rho 0.256; p<0.05), family (Spearman’s rho 0.292; p<0.05), marital (Spearman’s rho 0.402; p<0.01) and occupational domain (Spearman’s rho 0.283; p<0.01).

Discussion

Before discussing the important findings of our research, it is important to point out that most of our patients and their accompanying caretakers reported of many older substance abusers in their locality who had not sought treatment for the reasons of lack of awareness of and/or resources to avail these services. Thus the treatment seekers represented only a small proportion of the actual community load of the older substance abusers. However, rural-urban and religious profile of our sample conforming to that of the same age group in the community reflects that ours was a more or less representative sample (Census of India, 2001).

The present research was a retrospective chart review for the sociodemographic and clinical profile of treatment seeking elderly substance abusers in northern India. Between 1978 and 2003, the significant rise in the elderly cases from 1 to 9.5 per year, although not reflected in term of percentage, indicates an increase in treatment seeking if not of the substance abuse among the elderly in the community. Difficulties in detection, screening, treatment engagement and retention for substance use disorders in this population are well known (Culberson, 2006). In India, factors likely to attenuate clinician attendance include the major stigma associated with substance use disorders especially in the elderly (more so because they are traditionally held in high respect in Indian families due to their mature age and hence any ‘irresponsible’ behaviour by them such as substance abuse is even more stigmatized). Also, the referral process for them to an addiction clinic may be inadequate, in view of the relative lack of awareness of the problem. Thus, the numbers reported in this paper, although still very small, should be seen as a tip of the iceberg.
few of our cases using benzodiazepines (3.2% each as primary and secondary substance of use) is similar to the Western research reporting 5 to 33% of the elderly abusing prescription drugs (Jinks & Raschko, 1990; Ostrum et al, 1985).

Nicotine, though the commonest second substance of dependence was infrequent (11.1%) compared to the adult population in our catchment area (Jindal et al, 2006). This could be explained by half of our cases being Sikhs, a community that traditionally discourages tobacco (Chadda & Sengupta, 2002) and shows lower rates of tobacco use (Venkatnarayan et al, 1996).

Delirium tremens in 13% alcohol dependent cases reflects the higher prevalence of severe withdrawal and the need for a proper assessment in all elderly as also reported in the West (Liskow et al, 1989; Brower et al, 1994). Complications attributable to substance abuse rose from 33% in the whole sample to 54% among the alcohol abusers. Also, moderate to severe physical impairment in half of the sample emphasize the significant physical morbidity and the need for abstinence since the adulthood. Mild to moderate social impairment in most cases may also mean that substance use among the elderly is not well accepted.

Pharmacotherapy profile of our sample being similar to that among the adults suggests that the elderly tolerate the medication well. In line with Miller et al’s (1991) recommendation chlordiazepoxide was the most used benzodiazepine in earlier decades. However, with newer recommendations (Widlitz & Martin, 2002; Dufour & Fuller, 1995) oxazepam in heptatically compromised subjects and lorazepam had become the norm in the preceding decade.

Non-use of pharmacoprophylaxis in 89% of the sample indicates that in elderly the mainstay of treatment and relapse prevention has to be nonpharmacological. Despite the risk of serious adverse effects (Dufour & Fuller, 1995; Schonfeld & Dupree, 1995) the liberal use of Disulfiram in our sample must be because of its very low cost.

Earlier age at onset correlating with greater physical impairment, longer duration of use or dependence correlating with greater impairment in marital, family, occupational and legal domains, and more hospital visits correlating with longer period of follow up together reflect greater family burden and greater use of health care resources in the elderly substance abusers.

Limitations of the study

The retrospective chart review findings of this study may not reflect the patterns and profiles of all the substance abusing elderly in the community.

Conclusions

This study provides over a quarter of a century data on treatment seeking substance abusers showing substance abuse as a significant problem in the older population, often as a pattern that continued from their adulthood. Although the actual numbers of such patients attending the clinic are still very small, the emerging demographic changes in the community warn of the likely worsening of the problem in the future decades. In that sense, this paper may be gainfully seen as a ‘curtain-raiser’ rather than a comprehensive account of substance abuse among the elderly in India. Hence, specialized substance abuse services for the elderly need to be developed and organized so that almost all the potential cases are provided the requisite care. Also the communities need to take steps to prevent this problem from emerging as a residue of the adult substance use problem.
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